

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,663	10/07/2004	Masanori Ogawa	2710/73136/DSD	4926
75	590 05/17/2005		EXAM	NER
Donald S Dowden			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
Cooper & Dunl	nam			·
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1711	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/510,663	OGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ana L. Woodward	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/7/2004						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to:  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	·					

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-9 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the various engineering plastics. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. It is noted that the engineering plastic species of (modified) polyphenylene ether (claim
- 4) has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-9are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, "masking member" is indefinite as to scope and meaning.

In claim 1, the language "among a" renders the Markush group recitation confusing.

In claim 4, "modified" is indefinite as to scope and meaning.

In claims 5 and 6, it is unclear if or how "rubber-like" limits the further material(s).

In claim 8, "ore" pressure forming is not understood.

Art Unit: 1711

In claim 9, it is unclear if or how the two different thickness ranges limit the claimed subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. 6,045,883 (Akiyama et al).

Akiyama et al disclose a composition suitable for the production of sheets comprising polypropylene resin, a polyphenylene ether resin and a compatibility agent wherein the polyphenylene ether is dispersed in a matrix of the polypropylene resin. Suitable compatibility agents include styrenic diene-based block copolymers, which read on the rubber-like material per claims 5 and 6.

The disclosure of the reference meets the requirements of the present claims in terms of the types of materials added and their contents. It is maintained that the products of the reference meet the presently claimed preambular "masking member", when the latter is given its broadest

reasonable interpretation. The onus is shifted to applicants to establish that the product of the present claims is not the same as or obvious from that set forth by the reference.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 6,045,883 (Akiyama et al), described hereinabove.

As to the thickness of the sheet, it is maintained that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have made a sheet of any thickness, inclusive of that claimed, with the reasonable expectation of success. This is because by being silent relative to the specific thickness of the sheets, the reference implicitly suggests that any thickness can be employed. Accordingly, absent evidence of unusual or unexpected results, no patentability can be seen in the presently claimed subject matter.

#### Prior Art

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,663 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll/free).

Ana L. Woodward

Examiner Art Unit 1711

\*\*\*